Java-C++ Comparison

- A refresher in OO language features
- Ask questions!

Differences at a Glance

Java eliminates some of the C++ complexities

- No preprocessor (#define, #include, #ifdef)
 - no biggie? (cpp -P and some shell stuff)
- No direct memory access (pointers to existing storage)
- No multiple inheritance
- No operator overloading, no implicit conversions
- No goto, free, typedef
- · No unions
- No stand-alone functions, global variables

Differences at a Glance

Java adds some things to C++

- · Interfaces
- Garbage collection
- Object, reflection
- Threading (and monitors) in the language
- · Bound/cast checking

Java does many things differently

- · Packages, inner classes
- Arrays
- Templates vs. generics
- · Incremental compilation
- Virtual machine and bytecode

Interesting Changes: Packages

- Java has no global variables and functions
 - everything is part of a class
- Java packages are a module mechanism, like C++ namespaces
 - unlike modules in other languages, namespaces and packages are open
- Access specifiers:
 - for packages, public or private (by omitting public)
 - for classes, private, public, protected, or package-protected (by omitting all access specifiers)

References

- Java has no pointers to memory—objects and arrays are always passed "by reference-value"
 - "by reference" is another term used, but it's overloaded (e.g., C++ reference types have the textbook "by reference" semantics)
 - what is the difference? How do C++ references and const references work? How are Java primitives passed?
- This has some side-effects:
 - copying can be done only with the clone method (on Cloneable types)
 - deep equality can be tested only with the equals method (or equivalent)
 - no direct access to memory, no pointer arithmetic, reference types treated differently than primitive types

Garbage Collection

- Java has no explicit free statement, memory is reclaimed automatically
- A "finalizer" may be called
- What's wrong with never reclaiming any memory?
 - address space "real estate" is cheap, isn't it?
- Does this mean there can be no memory leaks?
 - a field is not set to null, expecting to be overwritten in the future
 - a local variable is not set to null,
 expecting to go out of scope soon
 a problem in long-running methods
 - Swing/AWT listener that is not removed after it is no longer needed (either by fault of user code or by fault of system code)
 - caching strategy makes objects become unreachable more slowly

5 of 12

Yannis Smaragdakis

Arrays

- No support for multidimensional arrays: they are just arrays of arrays
 - this is not the same in C/C++, despite the similar syntax: an array of pointers to arrays is what's closest to Java
 - think of the memory layout and recall that multidimensional arrays is where the array/ pointer duality breaks in C
- Interesting syntax:
 - byte f[][] = new byte[128][16] - int i[][] = new int[100][]
- Arrays are *covariant*: if the following is legal,

B b; A a = b; then the following is also legal

$$A a[] = new B[];$$

Threads and Monitors

- Monitor-style concurrent programming:
 - using mutexes for exclusion from a critical section
 - using conditions (i.e., wait statements) for protected waiting
 - used for inclusion in a critical section
- Java supports concurrent programming with threads and monitors at the language level
 - synchronized keyword
 - "friendly" thread library

7 OT 12
Yarnis Smaragdakis

0 -6

Method Overriding

- A method with the same signature can be defined and it *overrides* the superclass method
 - the new method is called for objects of the subclass

(what's the difference with "overloading"?)

- Pre-Java-5, overriding method needed to have the exact same signature as the original (*non-variance*)
- In current Java (as in C++) the return type can be more specific (*covariance*)

Interfaces

- Interfaces partially describe the signature of a class
 - not sufficient for static type checking, unfortunately: no nested classes, no constructors, no final attributes, etc.
- Interface conformance needs to be explicitly declared (*named*, not *structural* conformance)
- Interfaces used to eliminate a common need for multiple inheritance

9 of

C++ Templates (10 mile-high view)

· Class templates:

```
template <class E1, class E2>
struct Pair {
  E1 fst;
  E2 snd;
  ...
};
```

• Function templates:

```
template <class T>
const T& max(const T& e1, const T& e2)
{
  if (e1 > e2)
    return e1;
  else
    return e2;
}
```

 Templates: a full sub-language for compiletime computation

C++ Operator Overloading and Implicit Conversions

- Advanced features from a language design point of view
 - they are essentially extensibility features for C++ compilers
 - can be used to redefine the syntax and the type system of the language
- Overloading:

```
struct F {
    ...
    int operator[] (int index) {...}
};
```

• Implicit conversion:

```
class F {
  operator int() const {return 1;}
};
```

Yannis Smangdiákis Yannis Smangdiákis